

VIRGINIA:

BEFORE THE VIRGINIA STATE BAR DISCIPLINARY BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF DAVID BLAIR MINTZ

VS B DOCKET NO. 26-000-136776

MEMORANDUM ORDER OF REVOCATION

THIS MATTER came to be heard on November 14, 2025, before a panel of the Virginia State Bar Disciplinary Board, (the “Board”) consisting of Alison G. M. Martin, First Vice Chair, Melanie A. Friend, Michael C. Moore, Kamala H. Lannetti, and Dr. Theodore Smith, Lay Member. The Virginia State Bar (“VSB”) was represented by Renu M. Brennan, Bar Counsel (“Bar Counsel”). The Chair polled the members of the Board as to whether any of them was conscious of any personal or financial interest or bias which would preclude any of them from fairly hearing this matter and serving on the panel, to which inquiry each member responded to the negative.

Sandra Thinnest, court reporter, Sandra Thinnest Court Reporting, 10221 Krause Road #3, Chesterfield, Virginia 23832, 804-539-9456, after being duly sworn, reported the hearing and transcribed the proceedings.

David Blair Mintz, Respondent, did not appear at the hearing. At the start of the hearing, the Clerk of the Board called the Respondent’s name three times in the hall and there was no response. Bar Counsel informed the Board that she personally called Respondent on the day after she was notified of his disbarment from the Maryland State Bar but there was no answer to her calls. Bar Counsel then sent notice to the Respondent at his address of record with the Virginia State Bar. Additionally, Bar Counsel contacted the Maryland State Bar and obtained an additional address and email address for Respondent and she made efforts to contact Respondent at those addresses. Respondent did not respond to any attempt by Bar Counsel. Bar Counsel noted that Respondent did not participate in the disbarment hearings with the Maryland State Bar.

All legal notices of the date and place were timely sent by the Clerk of the Disciplinary Board (“Clerk”) in the manner prescribed by the Rules of the Supreme Court of Virginia, Part Six, Section IV, Paragraph 13-18 of the Rules of Court.

At the Prehearing Conference on November 7, 2025, the Board admitted into evidence VSB Exhibits # 1-8.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Respondent has been licensed to practice law in the Commonwealth of Virginia since April 4, 1998.

2. On September 4, 2025, the Supreme Court of Maryland disbarred the Respondent from the practice law in the State of Maryland as set forth in AG No 21 (VSB Exhibit 1).

3. Respondent did not respond to the VSB’s Motion to Show Cause, did not submit evidence and did not appear for the November 7, 2025 Pre-hearing Conference or the November 14, 2025 Board hearing.

4. The affidavit of DaVida M. Davis, Director of Regulatory Compliance of the Virginia State Bar lists twelve disciplinary matters between 1998 and 2024, including forfeiture of his license to practice law in Virginia pursuant to Code of Virginia §54.1-3914 on January 10, 2020.

DIPOSITION AND SANCTIONS

After deliberation, the Board determined by unanimous vote that revocation of the Respondent’s law license was the appropriate sanction. Upon consideration whereof, it is therefore ordered that David Blair Mintz’s license to practice law in the courts of this Commonwealth be and the same hereby is revoked, effective November 14, 2025.

It is further ORDERED that The Respondent must comply with the requirements of Part 6, Section IV, Paragraph 13-29 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of Virginia. The Respondent must forthwith give notice by certified mail of the Revocation of his license to practice law in the Commonwealth of Virginia, to all clients for whom he is currently handling matters and to all opposing Attorneys and presiding Judges in pending litigation. The Respondent must also make appropriate arrangements for the disposition of matters then in his care in conformity with the wishes of his clients. The Respondent must give such notice immediately and in no event later than 14 days of the effective date of the Revocation and make such arrangements as are required herein as soon as is practicable and in no event later than 45 days of the effective date of the Revocation. The Respondent must also furnish proof to the Clerk of the Disciplinary System of the Virginia State Bar within 60 days of the effective date of the Revocation that such notices have been timely given and such arrangements have been made for the disposition of matters.

It is further ORDERED that if the Respondent is not handling any client matters on the effective date of the Revocation, he shall submit an affidavit to that effect within 60 days of the effective date of the Revocation to the Clerk of the Disciplinary System at the Virginia State Bar. The Board must decide all issues concerning the adequacy of the notice and arrangements required herein. The burden of proof shall be on the Respondent to show compliance. If the Respondent fails to show compliance, the Board may impose a sanction of Revocation or additional Suspension for failure to comply with the requirements of subparagraph 13-29.

It is further ORDERED that pursuant to Part 6, Section IV, Paragraph 13-9.E of the Rules of the Supreme Court of Virginia, the Clerk of the Disciplinary System shall assess all costs against the Respondent.

It is further ORDERED that the Clerk of the Disciplinary System shall mail an attested copy of this order by electronic, first-class and certified mail, return receipt requested, to the Respondent, David Blair Mintz, at his address of record with the Virginia State Bar, being, Law Office

of David B. Mintz. PC. Suite 249, 10632 Little Patuxent Parkway, Columbia MD 21044 as well as his alternative address of 4729 Bel Pre Road, Rockville MD 20853, and a copy by electronic mail to Renu M. Brennan, Bar Counsel.

Entered this 21st day of November, 2025 Virginia
State Bar Disciplinary Board

/s/Alison G.M. Martin

Alison G. M Martin, First Vice Chair